

Rectangles Are Nonnegative Juntas (An approach to communication lower bounds)

<u>Mika Göös</u>, Shachar Lovett, Raghu Meka, Thomas Watson, and David Zuckerman

Rectangles Are Nonnegative Juntas





Compute: F(x, y)

Mika Göös (Univ. of Toronto)

Rectangles Are Nonnegative Juntas



Examples:

- Set-disjointness: $OR \circ AND^n$
 - Inner-product: XOR ∘ ANDⁿ
- Equality: $AND \circ \neg XOR^n$



In general: $g: \{0,1\}^b \times \{0,1\}^b \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is a small gadget

■ Alice holds $x \in (\{0,1\}^b)^n$ ■ Bob holds $y \in (\{0,1\}^b)^n$

Inputs *x* and *y* encode $z \coloneqq g^n(x, y)$



Holy grail (Conjecture):

Simulate **cost-***d* randomised protocol for $f \circ g^n$ using **height-***d* randomised decision tree for *f*

i.e., **BPP^{cc}**
$$(f \circ g^n) \approx$$
BPP^{dt} (f)



Holy grail (Conjecture):

Simulate **cost-***d* randomised protocol for $f \circ g^n$ using **height-***d* randomised decision tree for *f*

i.e., **BPP^{cc}**
$$(f \circ g^n) \approx$$
BPP^{dt} (f)



Our result:

Simulate **cost-***d* randomised protocol for $f \circ g^n$ using height-*d* randomised decision tree for *f*

... degree-*d* conical junta ...

Conical *d*-junta:

Nonnegative combination of *d*-conjunctions EXAMPLE: $0.4 \cdot z_1 \bar{z}_2 + 0.66 \cdot z_2 \bar{z}_3 + 0.35 \cdot z_3 \bar{z}_1$

Conical *d*-junta:

Nonnegative combination of *d*-conjunctions EXAMPLE: $0.4 \cdot z_1 \overline{z}_2 + 0.66 \cdot z_2 \overline{z}_3 + 0.35 \cdot z_3 \overline{z}_1$



Conical *d*-junta:

Nonnegative combination of *d*-conjunctions EXAMPLE: $0.4 \cdot z_1 \overline{z}_2 + 0.66 \cdot z_2 \overline{z}_3 + 0.35 \cdot z_3 \overline{z}_1$

Junta Theorem:

(*f* is *any* partial function) *g* is inner-product on Θ(log *n*) bits
Π is cost-*d* randomised protocol for *f* ∘ *gⁿ*↓
There exists a conical *d*-junta *h* s.t. ∀*z* ∈ {0,1}ⁿ:
Pr _{(x,y)∼(gⁿ)⁻¹(z)} [Π(x, y) accepts] ≈ h(z)

Conical *d*-junta:

Nonnegative combination of *d*-conjunctions EXAMPLE: $0.4 \cdot z_1 \overline{z}_2 + 0.66 \cdot z_2 \overline{z}_3 + 0.35 \cdot z_3 \overline{z}_1$

Junta Theorem:

(*f* is *any* partial function)
 g is inner-product on Θ(log *n*) bits
 Π is cost-*d* randomised protocol for *f* ∘ *gⁿ* ↓
 There exists a conical *d*-junta *h* s.t. ∀*z* ∈ {0,1}ⁿ:
 Pr [Π(*x*, *y*) accepts] ≈ *h*(*z*)

Cf: • Polynomial approximation [Razborov, Sherstov, Shi–Zhu,...] • Sherali–Adams vs. LPs [Chan–Lee–Raghavendra–Steurer]



Communication matrix of $f \circ g^n$





Encode $z \in \{0,1\}^n$ randomly: $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim (g^n)^{-1}(z)$



Encode $z \in \{0,1\}^n$ randomly: $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim (g^n)^{-1}(z)$

Want to understand $\Pr[\Pi(x, y) \text{ accepts}]$



Encode $z \in \{0,1\}^n$ randomly: $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim (g^n)^{-1}(z)$

Want to understand $\Pr[(x, y) \in R]$



Encode $z \in \{0,1\}^n$ randomly: $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim (g^n)^{-1}(z)$

Main Theorem:

 $\exists \text{ conical } d\text{-junta } h,$ $\Pr[(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in R] \approx h(z)$



Communication matrix of g^n

Encode $z \in \{0,1\}^n$ randomly: $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim (g^n)^{-1}(z)$

Main Theorem:

 $\exists \text{ conical } d\text{-junta } h,$ $\Pr[(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in R] \approx h(z)$

Proof: Partition *R* into "conjunctions" *R*':

 $g^n(R') = 110 * * * * * *$

Communication-to-query simulation for NP:

$$\mathbf{NP^{cc}}(f \circ g^n) = \mathbf{NP^{dt}}(f) \cdot \Theta(b)$$

... recall $b = \Theta(\log n)$

Communication-to-query simulation for NP:

$$\mathbf{NP^{cc}}(f \circ g^n) = \mathbf{NP^{dt}}(f) \cdot \Theta(b)$$
...recall $b = \Theta(\log n)$

Conical *d*-junta: $0.4 \cdot z_1 \bar{z}_2 + 0.66 \cdot z_2 \bar{z}_3 + 0.35 \cdot z_3 \bar{z}_1$

d-DNF: $z_1 \overline{z}_2 \lor z_2 \overline{z}_3 \lor z_3 \overline{z}_1$





Communication-to-query simulation for NP:

$$\mathbf{NP^{cc}}(f \circ g^n) = \mathbf{NP^{dt}}(f) \cdot \Theta(b)$$

...recall $b = \Theta(\log n)$



Resolving open problems

Query lower bound \rightsquigarrow Communication lower bound

 From [Böhler–Glaßer–Meister '06]: SBP^{cc} is not closed under intersection

SBP: Small bounded-error computations

• *yes*-inputs accepted with prob. $\geq \alpha$

no-inputs accepted with prob. $\leq \alpha/2$

Resolving open problems

Query lower bound \rightsquigarrow Communication lower bound

 From [Böhler–Glaßer–Meister '06]: SBP^{cc} is not closed under intersection

2 From [Klauck'03]:

Corruption does not characterise MA^{cc} *i.e.*, $MA^{cc} \subsetneq SBP^{cc}$

Resolving open problems

Query lower bound \rightsquigarrow Communication lower bound

 From [Böhler–Glaßer–Meister '06]: SBP^{cc} is not closed under intersection

2 From [Klauck'03]: Corruption does not characterise MA^{cc} *i.e.*, MA^{cc} ⊊ SBP^{cc}

- From [Kol–Moran–Shpilka–Yehudayoff'14]: No efficient error amplification for *e*-rank₊
- 4 From [Yannakakis'88]: (Subsequent work) Clique vs. Independent Set problem *i.e.*, $coNP^{cc}(F) \gg UP^{cc}(F)$

Summary

Main result: Junta Theorem

 \implies Pr[$\Pi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ accepts] \approx Conical junta of z

Open problems

- More applications of Junta Theorem?
- Simulation theorems for **BPP**?
- Improve gadget size down to b = O(1) (Would give new proof of Ω(n) bound for set-disjointness)

Summary

Main result: Junta Theorem

 \implies Pr[$\Pi(x, y)$ accepts] \approx Conical junta of z

Open problems

- More applications of Junta Theorem?
- Simulation theorems for **BPP**?
- Improve gadget size down to b = O(1) (Would give new proof of Ω(n) bound for set-disjointness)

Cheers!