CS276: Cryptography

November 3, 2015

## Computational Zero Knowledge for NP

Instructor: Alessandro Chiesa

Scribe: Praagya Singh

## 1 Introduction

**Fact 1** SZK  $\subseteq$  AM  $\cap$   $c_0$ AM. The right hand side is unlikely to contain NP.

In this lecture we will propose an interactive proof system for the 3-coloring graph problem (which we know to be NP-complete), and we will begin the proof that shows that our interactive proof system is computational zero-knowledge. So we will try to show NP  $\subseteq$  CZK - (note that given Fact 1, here we have to relax statistical zero knowledge to computational zero knowledge).

## 2 IP System for 3-Coloring

Recall that 3-Coloring is defined as the language of graphs

 $\{G \mid \exists \text{ 3-coloring } \alpha : [n] \rightarrow [3] \text{ of } G\}$ 

Now we present our proposed IP construction for the 3-Coloring problem. We have a prover-verifier pair  $(P_{3\text{COL}}, V_{3\text{COL}})$ . We also have a computationally hiding, statistically binding commitment scheme  $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{R})$ . The IP proceeds as follows:

- 1.  $P_{3\text{COL}}$  finds the 3-Coloring  $\alpha$  for G.
- 2.  $P_{3\text{COL}}$  samples a permutation  $\pi$  on [3] (the set of colors).
- 3.  $P_{3\text{COL}}$  computes the new 3-Coloring  $\beta = \pi \circ \alpha$ .
- 4.  $P_{3COL}$  samples keys  $sk_1, sk_2, \ldots sk_n$ .
- 5.  $P_{3\text{COL}}$  computes the commitment message  $c_i = \tilde{S}(1^k, sk_i, \beta(i))$  for each *i*.
- 6.  $P_{3\text{COL}}$  sends  $\vec{c} = [c_1, c_2, \dots c_n]$  to  $V_{3\text{COL}}$ .
- 7.  $V_{3\text{COL}}$  samples an edge  $(u, v) \leftarrow E$ .
- 8.  $V_{3COL}$  sends (u, v) to  $P_{3COL}$ .
- 9.  $P_{3\text{COL}}$  returns  $sk_u, sk_v$  to  $V_{3\text{COL}}$ .
- 10.  $V_{3\text{COL}}$  computes  $\chi_u = \tilde{R}(1^k, sk_u, c_u)$ , and  $\chi_v = \tilde{R}(1^k, sk_v, c_v)$ .
- 11.  $V_{3\text{COL}}$  checks whether  $\chi_u \neq \chi_v$ , and  $\chi_u, \chi_v \in [3]$ .

This IP construction is complete and sound, with acceptance probability  $\leq 1 - \frac{1}{|E|}$ .

**Theorem 2**  $(P_{3COL}, V_{3COL})$  is CZK (assuming that  $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{R})$  is secure).

Here we present a partial proof of the Theorem, to be completed in the next lecture.

We construct a simulator S with black box access to some verifier  $V^*$ . For some  $G \in 3$ COL, the steps for computing  $S^{V^*}(G)$  are as follows:

- 1. Sample a random tape  $r_{V^*}$  for  $V^*$ .
- 2. Sample a random coloring  $\gamma : [n] \to [3]$ .
- 3. Sample keys  $sk_1, \ldots sk_n$ .
- 4. Compute  $c_i = \tilde{S}(1^k, sk_i, \gamma(i))$  for each *i*.
- 5. Obtain (u, v) from sending the commitment vector  $\vec{c}$  to  $V^*(G, r_{V^*})$ .
- 6. If  $\gamma(u) = \gamma(v)$ , go back to step 1.
- 7. Output  $(r_{V^*}, \vec{c}, (u, v), (sk_u, sk_v))$ .

We will now analyze this simulator. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists probabilistic polynomial time distinguisher D that distinguishes  $S^{V^*}(G)$  from  $\operatorname{VIEW}_{V^*}(\langle P_{3\mathrm{COL}}, V^* \rangle(G))$  with probability  $\delta(k)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}_{(u^*,v^*)}$  denote the event  $V^*$  outputs  $(u^*,v^*)$ . Now, by averaging, there exists  $(u^*,v^*) \in E$  such that

$$\left| \Pr[D(S^{V^*}(G)) = 1 \land \mathcal{E}_{(u^*,v^*)}] - \Pr[D(\operatorname{VIEW}_{V^*}(\langle P_{3\operatorname{COL}}, V^* \rangle(G))) = 1 \land \mathcal{E}_{(u^*,v^*)}] \right| \ge \frac{\delta(k)}{|E|}$$

Now given this D, we can construct an attacker A that attacks  $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{R})$ .

To compute  $A_{(G,\alpha)}((d_{a,i})_{a \in [3], i \in [n]})$  given a graph G, a 3-Coloring  $\alpha$ , the attacker attacks the decommitment message d in the following steps:

- 1. Pick a random permutation  $\pi : [3] \to [3]$ .
- 2. Sample  $sk_{u^*}, sk_{v^*}$ .
- 3. Construct the commitment vector

$$c_i = \begin{cases} \tilde{S}(1^k, sk_i, \pi(\alpha(i))) & \text{if } (i = u^*) \lor (i = v^*) \\ d_{\pi(\alpha(i))} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- 4. Give  $\vec{c}$  to  $V^*(G)$ , obtain (u, v).
- 5. If  $(u, v) \neq (u^*, v^*)$ , output 0.
- 6. Output  $D(\vec{c}, (u^*, v^*), (sk_{u^*}, sk_{v^*}))$ .

The idea here is that d can either be a commitment to the string with the pattern "123123123..." repeated n times, in which case it corresponds to  $D(\text{VIEW}_{V^*})$ , or it is a commitment to 3n i.i.d. random samples from [3], in which case it corresponds to  $D(S^{V^*})$ .

Lemma 3

$$Pr[A(123\text{-}challenge) = 1] = Pr[D(\text{VIEW}_{V^*}) = 1 \land \mathcal{E}_{(u^*,v^*)}]$$

Lemma 4

$$\left| Pr[A(random \ challenge) = 1] - Pr[D(S^{V^*}) = 1 \land \mathcal{E}_{(u^*, v^*)}] \right| \le \frac{\delta(k)}{2|E|}$$

We want to show that

$$|Pr[A(123\text{-challenge}) = 1] - Pr[A(\text{random challenge}) = 1]|$$

is non negligible. Using the triangle equality  $(|x - y| \ge ||x| - |y||)$  and the above two lemmas, we can reformulate the statement above as

$$Pr[D(\operatorname{View}_{V^*}) = 1 \wedge \mathcal{E}_{(u^*, v^*)}] - Pr[D(S^{V^*}) = 1 \wedge \mathcal{E}_{(u^*, v^*)}] \pm \frac{\delta(k)}{2|E|} \ge \frac{\delta(k)}{2|E|}$$

**Proof of Lemma 4:** Given  $\gamma : [n] \to [3]$ , define  $q_{\gamma}$  to be the probability that  $Q_{\gamma}$  outputs 1, where  $Q_{\gamma}(1^k)$  is computed as follows:

- 1. Sample  $sk_1, \ldots sk_n$ .
- 2. Compute  $c_i = \tilde{S}(1^k, sk_i, \gamma(i)).$
- 3. Send  $\vec{c}$  to  $V^*(G)$  to obtain (u, v).
- 4. Output 1 iff  $(u, v) = (u^*, v^*), \ \gamma(u^*) = \gamma(v^*), \ \text{and} \ D(\vec{c}, (u^*, v^*), (sk_{u^*}, sk_{v^*})) = 1.$

Now we can rewrite

$$Pr[A(\text{random challenge}) = 1] = \sum_{\gamma \mid \gamma(u^*) \neq \gamma(v^*)} \frac{q_{\gamma}}{2\binom{3}{2}3^{n-2}} = \sum_{\gamma \mid \gamma(u^*) \neq \gamma(v^*)} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{3^n} q_{\gamma}$$

Now we want to rewrite

$$Pr[D(S^{V^*}) = 1 \land \mathcal{E}_{(u^*, v^*)}]$$

First we see that, for a particular transcript tr,

$$Pr[S^{V^*} \text{ outputs tr}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Pr[S^{V^*} \text{ outputs tr at round i}]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Pr[S^{V^*} \text{ outputs tr at round 1}]Pr[\text{i-1 retries}]$$
$$= \frac{1}{Pr[\text{do not retry}]} Pr[S^{V^*} \text{ outputs tr at round 1}]$$

Here the last step is obtained by convergence of geometric series. This allows us to rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[D(S^{V^*}) &= 1 \land \mathcal{E}_{(u^*,v^*)}] = \sum_{\text{tr with}(u^*,v^*)} \frac{1}{Pr[\text{do not retry}]} Pr[S^{V^*} \text{outputs tr at round } 1] Pr[D(\text{tr}) = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{Pr[\text{do not retry}]} \sum_{\gamma \mid \gamma(u^*) \neq \gamma(v^*)} \frac{1}{3^n} q_{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$